



TITLE:

A condition for two words being powers of the same word (Algebraic Systems, Formal Languages and Conventional and Unconventional Computation Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Tsuji, Kayoko

CITATION:

Tsuji, Kayoko. A condition for two words being powers of the same word (Algebraic Systems, Formal Languages and Conventional and Unconventional Computation Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2004, 1366: 202-204

ISSUE DATE:

2004-04

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/25377>

RIGHT:

A condition for two words being powers of the same word

天理大学総合教育センター
辻 佳代子
Kayoko Tsuji
Tenri University
tsuji@sta.tenri-u.ac.jp

The theorem Fine and Wilf is well known ([1]). They gave a condition for two word being powers of the same word. A condition which is weaker than the condition is given in this paper.

Let Σ be a finite set usually called *alphabet* and Σ^* be the free monoid generated by Σ . We use the notation $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* - 1$, where 1 is the empty word.

The *length* of a word $x = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, ($a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \Sigma$) is the number n and is denoted by $|x|$. A word u is said to be a *prefix* of a word x if there exists a word v such that $x = uv$. We denote the prefix of length n of x by $\text{pref}_n(x)$. A word v is said to be a *suffix* of a word x if there exists a word u such that $x = uv$.

Let x be a word of length $n > 0$. For any positive integer i , there exists an integer i_0 such that $i = qn + i_0$ ($1 \leq i_0 \leq n$). We denote by $P_i(x)$ the i_0 -th letter of x .

We define a mapping $\text{Shift}: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$, inductively as follows:

$$\text{Shift}^{i+1}(x) = \text{Shift}(\text{Shift}^i(x))$$

$$\text{Shift}^1(x) = \text{Shift}(x) = a_2 \cdots a_n a_1.$$

It is clear that $(\text{Shift}^i(x))^j = \text{Shift}^j(x^j)$ for all positive integers i, j .

By the proof of Proposition 1.3.5 of [2], we have the following proposition 1.

Proposition 1. Let $p, s \in \Sigma^*$, $|p| + |s| = n$, $\gcd(|p|, |s|) = 1$. If $\text{Pref}_{n-1}(sp) = \text{Pref}_{n-1}(ps)$, then there exists a letter a such that $ps = sp = a^n$.

Although the following proposition is obtained immediately by Proposition 1, the result is

essential.

Proposition 2. Let $p, s \in \Sigma^*$, $|p| + |s| = n$, $\gcd(|p|, |s|) = 1$. If there exists $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $P_i(ps) = P_i(sp)$ for $i \neq j$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), then there exists a letter a such that $ps = sp = a^n$.

Proof. Let $ps = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$, $sp = b_1 \dots b_n$, $\text{Shift}^j(ps) = a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n$, $\text{Shift}^j(sp) = b'_1 b'_2 \dots b'_n$. We have $a'_i = P_i(\text{Shift}^j(ps)) = P_{i+j}(ps)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Since $i+j \not\equiv j \pmod{n}$, we have $a'_i = P_i(\text{Shift}^j(ps)) = P_{i+j}(ps) = P_{i+j}(sp) = P_i(\text{Shift}^j(sp)) = b'_i$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. On the other hand, let $|p| = m$, $a'_1 \dots a'_m = p'$, $a'_{m+1} \dots a'_n = s'$, then $b'_1 \dots b'_n = \text{Shift}^j(sp) = \text{Shift}^j(a'_{m+1} \dots a'_n a_1 \dots a_m) = \text{Shift}^j(\text{Shift}^m(ps)) = \text{Shift}^m(\text{Shift}^j(ps)) = \text{Shift}^m(a'_1 \dots a'_n) = a'_{m+1} \dots a'_n a'_1 \dots a'_m = s'p'$. Therefore, we have $\text{Pref}_{n-1}(s'p') = \text{Pref}_{n-1}(p's')$. It is obvious that $\gcd(|p'|, |s'|) = \gcd(|p|, |s|) = 1$. By Proposition 1, there exists a letter a such that p' and s' are powers of a . This shows that $ps = sp = a^n$.

Proposition 3. Let $p, s \in \Sigma^*$, $|p| + |s| = n$, $\gcd(|p|, |s|) = d$. If there exist $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $P_j(ps) \neq P_k(ps)$ and that $j - k$ is divisible by d , then there are no word x such that p, s are powers of the word x .

Proof. Suppose that p, s are powers of the same x and $ps = x'$. Since $|x|$ is divisor of d , for every integers $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $j - k$ is divisible by d , $P_j(ps) = P_j(x') = P_k(x') = P_k(sp)$.

Theorem 4. Let $p, s \in \Sigma^*$, $|p| + |s| = n$, $\gcd(|p|, |s|) = d$. Words p, s are powers of the same word if and only if there exists a subset $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subset I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that (1) for $i \in I - J$, we have $P_i(ps) = P_i(sp)$, (2) for $j, j' \in I - J$, $j - j'$ is not divisible by d . Then we have $p = x^{|p|/d}$ and $s = x^{|s|/d}$ where $x = \text{Pref}_d(ps)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, the condition is necessary. We prove that the condition is sufficient. Let $ps = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$, $|p| = m$. For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$, we denote $a_k a_{k+d} \dots a_{k+(m-d)}$ and $a_{k+m} \dots a_{k+(q-1)d}$, by p_k and s_k , respectively. Since $k \equiv k + d \equiv \dots \equiv k + (q-1)d \pmod{d}$ and the condition of

J , the set $\{k, k+d, \dots, k+(q-1)d\} \cap J$ contains only one element, say j_k . We then have $P_i(p_k s_k) = P_i(s_k p_k)$ for $i \in \{k, k+d, \dots, k+(q-1)d\} - \{j_k\}$. It is easy to see that $\gcd(|p_k|, |s_k|) = 1$. By Proposition 2, there exists a letter c_k such that $p_k s_k = s_k p_k = c_k^q$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$. Therefore, we have $p = x^{p/d}$ and $s = x^{s/d}$ where $x = (c_1 c_2 \dots c_d)^q = \text{Pref}_d(ps)$.

Example 1. Let $ps = c_1 c_2 c_3^2 c_5 c_6 c_7 c_8^2 c_{10} c_{11} c_{12} c_{13}^2 c_{15}$, $sp = c_1 c_2 c_3^2 c_5 c_6 c_7 c_8^2 c_{10} c_{11} c_{12} c_{13}^2 c_{15}$, and $|p| = 9$. The set $J = \{1, 2, \dots, 16\} - \{4, 9, 14\}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Therefore, we have $p = (c_1 c_2 c_3)^3$ and $s = (c_1 c_2 c_3)^2$.

Corollary 5. Let $p, s \in \Sigma^*$, $|p| + |s| = n$, $\gcd(|p|, |s|) = d$. If there exists integer k such that $\text{Pref}_{n-d}(\text{Shift}^k(ps)) = \text{Pref}_{n-d}(\text{Shift}^k(sp))$, then we have $p = x^{p/d}$ and $s = x^{s/d}$ where $x = \text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^k(ps)))$.

Proof. Let $ps = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n$, then there is an integer $t \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_t = \text{Pref}_{n-d}(\text{Shift}^k(ps))$. Since set $J = I - \{t+1, t+2, \dots, t+d\}$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4, we have $ps = sp = x^{n/d}$ where $x = a_1 a_2 \dots a_d$. On the other hand, we have $a_1 a_2 \dots a_d = \text{Pref}_d(ps) = \text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Shift}^k(ps))) = \text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Shift}^k((a_1 a_2 \dots a_d)^{n/d}))) = \text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Shift}^k(a_1 a_2 \dots a_d))) = \text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^k(a_1 a_2 \dots a_d))) = \text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Pref}_d((\text{Shift}^k(a_1 a_2 \dots a_d))^{n/d})) = \text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^k((a_1 a_2 \dots a_d)^{n/d}))) = \text{Shift}^{n-k}(\text{Pref}_d(\text{Shift}^k(ps)))$

Example 2. Let $p, s, x, y, u, v \in \Sigma^*$, $ps = vxu$, $sp = vyv$, $|p| = 9$, $|x| = 3$, $|u| = 5$, $\text{Pref}_3(u) = abc$. Since $\text{Pref}_{12}(\text{Shift}^{10}(ps)) = uv = \text{Pref}_{12}(\text{Shift}^{10}(sp))$. On the other hand, $\text{Shift}^{15-10}(\text{Pref}_3(\text{Shift}^{10}(ps))) = \text{Shift}^5(\text{Pref}_3(uv)) = \text{Shift}^{10}(\text{Pref}_3(uv)) = \text{Shift}^{10}(abc) = \text{Shift}(abc) = bca$. Hence, Corollary 5 shows that $p = x^3$ and $s = x^2$ where $x = \text{Shift}^{15-10}(\text{Pref}_3(\text{Shift}^{10}(ps))) = bca$. Therefore, we have $p = (bca)^3$ and $s = (bca)^2$.

References

- [1] N. J. Fine and H.S. Wilf, Uniqueness theorem for periodic functions, Proc. Am. Math Soc., (1965), 109-114.
- [2] M. Lothair, Combinatorics on Words, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.